



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,248	01/18/2001	Jason Weber	44431-233644 (13237-2750)	8161	
27792	7590 12/23/2005		EXAM	INER	
RONALD I	RONALD M. ANDERSON			SAIN, GAUTAM	
MICROSOF	T CORPORATION				
600 108TH A	600 108TH AVENUE N.E., SUITE 507			PAPER NUMBER	
BELLEVUE	, WA 98004		2176		

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/765,248	WEBER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gautam Sain	2176
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a constant	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeys ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		

Application/Control Number: 09/765,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1) This is a NonFinal rejection in response to reply filed on 10/7/05 (via RCE).

2) Claims 1-11,13-26 are pending and rejected in this action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3) A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/7/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4-1) Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Outlook 2000 (version 9.0.0.4527; copyrighted 1999)(hereinafter "Outlook"), in view of Thompson et al (US 2001/0003183, filed Dec 2000), further in view of Uyehara et al (US 6154214, issued Nov 28, 2000). Claim 1, Outlook teaches

Art Unit: 2176

A method for validating information in an electronic document, comprising the steps of:identifying a string having a ... associated with the string (ie., name in the query box is associated with a name in the list)(page 1, items a and b);

creating a request for information associated with the [unique identifier] (ie., typing the name in the query box)(page 1, item b);

selecting a reference material source that contains the information associated with the unique identifier (ie., global address list or any other list with names)(page 1, item c); accessing the selected reference material source to obtain the information associated with the unique identitier (ie., as user enters the name in the query box, outlook looks for the name in the Global address list)(page 1, items b and c); and comparing the information associated with the unique identifier to the string to determine whether the string is valid (ie., compares Name from List to find one that matches, if any, thus valid)(page 1, item a).

Outlook does not expressly teach *unique identifier*, but Thompson teaches that a name can be a unique identifier that is associated with a string (paragraph 27).

Outlook in view of Thompson does not expressly teach unique identifier, but Uyehara does teach this limitation more specifically (ie., an electronic reading system which allows user to download books or content to hand-held reader device for viewing as well as allowing the user to look up the displayed word in a dictionary; Examiner interprets that the dictionary contains unique identifiers, especially upon reading the Applicant's specs.)(Abstract section).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Outlook to include a Name as a unique identifier as taught by Thompson, providing the benefit of formulating information requests in complex query languages (Thompson, para 16), further to include a hand-held device that has a dictionary look up feature as taught by Uyehara, providing the benefit of a user interface which is simple and intuitive to use, which allows users to take advantage of the content's digital form, so users have incentive to use the digital system in place of a printed publication (ie., dictionary)(col 1, lines 32-38).

Claim 2, Outlook teaches

wherein the step of comparing the information associated with the tmique identifier to the string to determine whether the string is valid, comprises the steps of: if the information associated with the unique identifier matches the string, then determining that the string is valid (ie., if the name is found in the list, then it is valid)(page 1, items a and b); and otherwise, determining that the information associated with the unique identifier updates the string (if a name is not in the personal address book, then a full name can

Claim 3, Outlook teaches

wherein the step of identifying a string having a unique identifier associated with the sïring comprises the step of: searching the electronic document for strings having tmique identifiers when the electronic document is opened (ie., when the personal address book is open – searching for Name; examiner broadly interprets address book

be created and properties about the Name can be changed)(page 2, item a).

Art Unit: 2176

as an electronic document, as a book is a collection of one or more documents/pages)(page 3).

Claim 4, Outlook teaches

wherein the string is a nnme and the selected reference material source is an address book (ie., Personal Address Book)(page 3).

Claim 5, Outlook teaches

wherein' the string is an address and the selected reference material sotlrce is an address book (ie., Personal Address Book)(page 3).

4-2) Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Outlook 2000 (version 9.0.0.4527; copyrighted 1999)(hereinafter "Outlook"), in view of Thompson et al (US 2001/0003183, filed Dec 2000), further in view of Yahoo (see attached Non Patent Literature, Nov 9, 2000 and Sep 8 1999).

Claim 6, Outlook in view of Thompson does not expressly teach, but the Yahoo reference teaches

wherein the string is a value associated with a stock symbol and the selected reference material sotlrce is a real time stock quote (ie. yahoo lets a user enter a stock identifier and yahoo provides a last trade value of the stock, if the stock symbol was not valid, no quote would be generated)(see yahoo, page 1 and page 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Outlook in view of Thompson to include looking up stock symbols and real time stock quote as taught by Yahoo, providing the benefit of looking up symbols (or

Art Unit: 2176

names) and getting valid information about the symbol (or name) if it exists in the database or list (Yahoo, page 1 and 2).

4-3) Claims 7, 8, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gehani</u> et al (US 5946687, issued Aug 1999), in view of <u>Uyehara</u> et al (as cited above).

Claim 7, Gehani teaches

A method for providing reference material, comprising the steps of:

receiving a request for selected [reference material] (ie., request for geographical information)(col 2, line 11);

determining that an identity of a user is relevant to the selected [reference material] (ie., a name that is relevant to geographical information in the personal information manager)(col 3, lines 20-25);

creating a request for information requesting the selected [reference material] and identifying the user (ie., request for geographical information obvious that this includes a location specific to an address)(col 1, lines 58-60; col 2, line 11);

selecting a [reference material] source based upon the request for information (ie., based on user request, Map, Weather or Yellow Page information may be displayed)(col 4, lines 37-45);

accessing the selected [reference material] sotzrce to obtain the selected reference material (ie., the Map, weather or Yellow Pages is accessed)(col 4, lines 37-45)', and providing the selected [reference material] in a manner that is relevant to the identified

user (ie., geographical information is presented with MAP, weather or Yellow Pages into an appropriate request format)(col 4, lines 37-45; line 21).

Gehani does not expressly teach *reference material*, but Gehani does teach serving maps, yellow pages, and other types of geographical information to application programs which contact it (col 3, lines 38-50). These are reference materials because the program has to access these in response to the client request.

Gehani not expressly teach selected reference material, but Uyehara does teach this limitation more specifically (ie., an electronic reading system which allows user to download books or content to hand-held reader device for viewing as well as allowing the user to look up the displayed word in a dictionary; Examiner interprets that the dictionary contains unique identifiers, especially upon reading the Applicant's specs.)(Abstract section).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to interpret Gehani's teachings of maps and yellow pages information as reference material, providing the benefit of a personal information manager known by an address book including name, address that provides a user with maps, directions ... (col 1, lines 17-60), further to include a hand-held device that has a dictionary look up feature as taught by Uyehara, providing the benefit of a user interface which is simple and intuitive to use, which allows users to take advantage of the content's digital form, so users have incentive to use the digital system in place of a printed publication (ie., dictionary)(col 1, lines 32-38).

Claim 8, Gehani teaches

Art Unit: 2176

wherein the selected reference material is a set of directions and wherein providing the selected reference material comprises: providing the set of directions so that the directions begin with the identitied user's location (ie., Directions where user is requested to supply the start address in order to get directions to and end)(col 5, lines 25-35).

Claim 9, Gehani teache

wherein the selected reference material source is one of a pltzrality of reference material sotlrces, and at least one of the reference material sources is a remote Server (ie., GeoServer for serving maps (#22) and routes and yellow page info to user)(fig 1, item 20).

Claim 10, Gehani teaches

further comprising the step of accessing the selected reference material source 'via a network (ie., network)(fig 2, item 34).

4-4) Claims 11, 13, 14, 18, 19, 21, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Outlook 2000 (version 9.0.0.4527; copyrighted 1999)(hereinafter "Outlook"), in view of Gehani (as cited above).

Claim 11, Outlook teaches

A system for integrating reference material into an electronic document, comprising: an application program for creating the electronic doctlment and creating a request for information to obtain selected [reference material]. wherein the electronic document comprises a strinc having a uninue identifier associated with the string, and wherein the reguest for information comprises the unique identifier (i.e., the global address list or

personal address book contain names and where a user can type a portion of the name in the query box and that identifies the full name)(outlook, page 1, items b and c);

Outlook does not teach, but Gehani teaches

Reference material (ie., maps, yellow pages, ...)(Abstract).

a reference engine for receiving the request for information from the application progrnm, selecting one of a plurality of reference material sotlrces based upon the request forinformation, and accessing the selected reference material sotlrce to obtain the selected reference material (ie., GeoServer serves maps, weather, yellow page based on user requesting info on a location)(col 3, lines 38-61); and the plurality of reference material sources for providing the reference material (ie., map, direction, weather, yellow pages ... based on what the user is looking for)(col 2, lines 65-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify outlook to include maps and yellow pages information as reference material based on user requesting info on a location as taught by Gehani, providing the benefit of a personal information manager known by an address book including name, address that provides a user with maps, directions ... (col 1, lines 17-60).

Claim 13, Outlook teaches

wherein the application program compares the selected reference material with the string to determine whether the string is valid (ie., the sought name is a valid name in the address book or global list if it matches an entry in the list)(page 1, item a).

Claim 14, Outlook does not expressly teach, but Gehani teaches

wherein a user's identity is relevant to the request for information, and wherein the request for information comprises an identifier for the user (ie., personal information manager stores address info for the user)(col 1, line 42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify outlook to include a person information manager that stores address info for the user to access maps and yellow pages information as reference material based on user requesting info on a location as taught by Gehani, providing the benefit of a personal information manager known by an address book including name, address that provides a user with maps, directions ... (col 1, lines 17-60).

Claim 18, Outlook teaches

wherein one of the plurality of reference material sources is an address book associated with an electronic mail application program (ie., user can select from a list)(page 1, item c).

Claim 19, Gehani teaches

wherein the request for information comprises a unique identitier associated with an entry in the address book, and wherein the reference engine selects the address book as the selected reference material sotzrce based upon the unique identifier (ie., based on an address in the address book, use can get geographic information based on the address)(col 1, lines 55-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify outlook to include a person information manager that stores address info for the user to access maps and yellow pages information as reference material based on

Art Unit: 2176

user requesting info on a location as taught by Gehani, providing the benefit of a personal information manager known by an address book including name, address that provides a user with maps, directions ... (col 1, lines 17-60).

Claim 21, Outlook does not teach, but Gehani teaches

wherein one of the pltzrality of reference material sources is a remote server (ie., GeoServer is remote from user)(fig 2, item 20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify outlook to include a person information manager that stores address info for the user to access maps and yellow pages information as reference material based on user requesting info on a location as taught by Gehani, providing the benefit of a personal information manager known by an address book including name, address that provides a user with maps, directions ... (col 1, lines 17-60).

Claim 22, Outlook does not teach, but Gehani teaches

wherein one of the pltzrality of reference material solzrces is accessed via a network (ie., network)(fig 3, item 34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify outlook to include a person information manager on the network as taught by Gehani, providing the benefit of a personal information manager known by an address book including name, address that provides a user with maps, directions ... (col 1, lines 17-60).

4-5) Claims 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Outlook 2000 (version 9.0.0.4527; copyrighted 1999)(hereinafter

Application/Control Number: 09/765,248 Page 12

Art Unit: 2176

"Outlook"), in view of Gehani (as cited above), further in view of Cconcepts'
Wordworks (see attached Non Patent Literature copyright May 1997)(hereinafter
"Wordworks").

Claim 15, Outlook in view of Gehani does not expressly teach, but Wordworks teaches wherein the request for information includes a selected word and a request for a definition of the word (ie., finding the meaning of words)(page 1, first paragraph). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Outlook in view of Gehani to include finding the meaning of words as taught by Wordworks, providing the benefit of a simple but effective tool that is a must for all serious users who produce a lot of text (Wordworks, page 2 bottom).

Claim 16, Outlook in view of Gehani does not expressly teach, but Wordworks teaches wherein the application program is a word processing program having a selected language, and wherein the request for information comprises an identifier for the selected language (ie., English dictionary)(page 1, first paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Outlook in view of Gehani to include finding the meaning of words from an English dictionary as taught by Wordworks, providing the benefit of a simple but effective tool that is a must for all serious users who produce a lot of text (Wordworks, page 2 bottom).

4-6) Claims 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Outlook 2000 (version 9.0.0.4527; copyrighted 1999)(hereinafter

Art Unit: 2176

"Outlook"), in view of Gehani (as cited above), further in view of Microsoft Word (see attached Non Patent Literature, copyright 1999)(hereinafter "MS-Word").

Claim 17, Outlook in view of Gehani dos not teach, but MS-Word teaches wherein one of the pltlrality of reference material solzrces is a dictionary in a first language and another one of the pltlrality of reference material sotlrces is a dictionary in a second language (ie., allows for automatically detection of language for the application)(MS-Word, page 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Outlook in view of Gehani to include automatic detection of language of the application as taught by MS-Word, providing the benefit of an electronic document authoring/creation system with valid information which are well know in the art for validating spelling and grammar (MS-Word).

4-7) Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Outlook 2000 (version 9.0.0.4527; copyrighted 1999)(hereinafter "Outlook"), in view of Gehani (as cited above), further in view of Thompson (as cited above).

Claim 20, Outlook in view of Gehani does not teach, but Thompson teaches wherein the request for infonnation comprises key words summarizing the content of the electronic document (ie., abstract concept is prepared for a keyword)(para 18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Outlook in view of Gehani to include finding the abstract concept for a

Application/Control Number: 09/765,248 Page 14

Art Unit: 2176

keyword as taught by Thompson, providing the benefit of a library of query dictionaries that relates keyword to abstract concepts for complex languages.

4-8) Claims 23, 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson (as cited above), in view of Wordworks (as cited above), further in view of Uyehara et al (as cited above).

Claim 23, Thompson teaches

method for integrating a dictionary into an application program, comprising the steps of:

in response to a selection of a dictionary control provided by the application program, displaying a dictionary interface on a display device (ie., user interface to query for keyword dictionary; table 1)(para 60);

receiving a request for a selected word (ie., query with keyword)(para 17);

based upon the request for a definition, selecting a dictionary tile (ie., does not teach selecting a dictionary file but selects the most appropriate query from among the instantiated query templates)(para 18, 19);

Thompson does not teach, but Wordworks teaches accessing the dictionary file to obtain the definition of the selected word (ie., the definition of a word 'provide' from the dictionary)(page 2); and providing the definition of the selected word so that the definition is displayed in the dictionary interface (ie., wordworks screenshot)(page 2); creating a request for a definition of the selected word (ie., meaning of a word)(page 1).

Thompson in view of Wordworks does not expressly teach dictionary control provided in the user interface, but Uyehara does teach this limitation more specifically (ie., an electronic reading system which allows user to download books or content to hand-held reader device for viewing as well as allowing the user to look up the displayed word in a dictionary; Examiner interprets that the dictionary contains unique identifiers, especially upon reading the Applicant's specs.)(Abstract section).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Thompson to include definition of a word from the dictionary where the definition is displayed in the user interface as taught by Wordworks, providing the benefit of a simple but effective tool that is a must for all serious users who produce a lot of text (Wordworks, page 2 bottom), further to include a hand-held device that has a dictionary look up feature as taught by Uyehara, providing the benefit of a user interface which is simple and intuitive to use, which allows users to take advantage of the content's digital form, so users have incentive to use the digital system in place of a printed publication (ie., dictionary)(col 1, lines 32-38).

Claim 24, Thompson does not teach, but Wordworks teaches

wherein receiving a requeat for a selected word comprises: 'receiving the selected word

via the dictionary interface (ie., definition of 'provide')(page 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Thompson to include definition of a word from the dictionary where the definition is displayed in the user interface as taught by Wordworks, providing the

Art Unit: 2176

benefit of a simple but effective tool that is a must for all serious users who produce a lot of text (Wordworks, page 2 bottom).

4-9) Claims 25, 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson (as cited above), in view of Wordworks (as cited above) and Uyehara et al (as cited above), further in view of MS-Word (as cited above).

Claim 25, Thompson in view of Wordworks does not teach, but MS-Word teaches wherein the dictionary interface includes a language control and wherein receiving a request for a selected word comprises: receiving a selected language via the dictionary interface (ie., select language on dictionary interface)(page 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Thompson in view of Wordworks to selecting language on dictionary interface as taught by MS-Word, providing the benefit of an electronic document authoring/creation system with valid information which are well know in the art for validating spelling and grammar (MS-Word).

Claim 26, Thompson in view of Wordworks does not teach, but MS-Word teaches wherein the dictionary interface includes a language control and wherein selecting a dictionary file comprises: selecting a dictionary file associated with a language specified by the language control (ie., selecting the dictionary selects the file associated with the language)(page 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Thompson in view of Wordworks to selecting language on dictionary interface as taught by MS-Word, providing the benefit of an electronic document

Art Unit: 2176

authoring/creation system with valid information which are well know in the art for validating spelling and grammar (MS-Word).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-10,23-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant argues (on page 8)"outlook fails to disclose a string that is associated with a unique identifier associated with information". To specifically teach this, the Examiner introduces the Uyehara reference (see rejection above for detail). Applicant argues (on page 11) that references do not teach information retrieved in a record must be provided in a manner relevant to an identified user. To specifically teach this, the Examiner introduces the Uyehara reference (see rejection above for detail). Additionally, Applicant argues (on page 13) that reference does not teach dictionary control provided in a user interface. To specifically teach this, the Examiner introduces the Uyehara reference (see rejection above for detail).

The Examiner maintains the rejection because the combination of references when viewed in their entirety teach the claimed limitations matter and one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the references to arrive at the claimed subject matter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam Sain whose telephone number is 571-272-4096. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5 EST.

Art Unit: 2176

Page 18

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6.5.

GS

WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/21/2005